To: RDA Steering Committee

From: Ebe Kartus, ACOC Representative

Subject: RDA models for provenance data

ACOC thanks the Technical Working Group for another solid proposal.

***Recommendation 1:* Further development of *date of usage*, *scope of usage*, *status of identification*, and *undifferentiated name indicator* should be considered as part of the development of the LRM Nomen entity in RDA.**
ACOC is supportive of additional development in this area, and encourage the Technical Working Group to retain the clarity needed for Toolkit users.

***Recommendation 2*: Generalize the scope of application of *cataloguer's note* and *source consulted* to any RDA element and provide contextual guidance on applicability to specific elements.**
ACOC supports the addition of 0.13 - 0.14 to RDA.

In keeping with our desire to retain clarity and understandablility for Toolkit users we prefer option 2a2 Contextualized wording to refer to the two new chapters.

***Recommendation 3*: Consider creating the meta-elements *transcription note*, *transcription source*, and *transcription rules* when introducing separate elements for transcriptions.**
ACOC agrees.

***Recommendation 4*: Develop general guidance on recording provenance data and using RDA meta-elements.**
ACOC agrees with the idea of recording general provenance data.

In drafting any new instructions/guidelines we would like to urge the Technical Working Group to keep in mind the need to retain clarity for Toolkit users. ACOC acknowledges that RDA is moving towards a semantic web environment but is also cognizant that we are currently in a transition period and so need to keep in mind Toolkit users who are not as familiar with the new environment.